OPPORTUNITY
EduFinance

Designing Finance for Rural TVET Institutions in Kenya

Insights into the Operating Characteristics, Financing Needs, and Product Design Implications Of Rural and Urban Private TVET Institutions

10 JULY 2026

Why Rural TVET Matters

Rural TVET institutions play a critical role in expanding access to skills development beyond Kenya's major urban centres. While often smaller in scale, the findings suggest that rural providers operate under fundamentally different financial and market conditions than their urban counterparts. Rural institutions serve lower-income communities, deliver longer training programmes, operate on tighter financial margins, and support graduates who are more likely to pursue self-employment. These differences have important implications for financial institutions and development partners seeking to design financing solutions that reflect the realities of rural skills development.

This brief draws on data collected from 160 registered private TVET institutions, comprising **63 rural institutions** and **97 urban institutions**. Consistent with the methodology adopted in the Kenya TVET Market Research, Nairobi was selected to represent an urban market, while Homa Bay and Kajiado were selected to represent rural markets based on county-level population density and settlement characteristics. All institutions included in the analysis were registered with the Technical and Vocational Education and Training Authority (TVETA).

Understanding Rural TVET Institutions

COMPARISON OF URBAN AND RURAL TVET INSTITUTION CHARACTERISTICS

	Urban	Rural
Institution Profile		
Median years of operation	8	7
Median student enrolment (2025)	180	40
Median number of courses offered	5	4
Boarding institutions	3%	8%
Median tuition per course (KES)	50,000	60,000
Median course duration (months)	9	12
Growth Outlook		

COMPARISON OF URBAN AND RURAL TVET INSTITUTION CHARACTERISTICS

	Urban	Rural
Median student enrolment growth (2024–2025)	18%	41%
Median anticipated enrolment growth (2025–2026)	0%	0%
Median anticipated course expansion (2025–2026)	18%	41%

Rural TVET institutions tend to **operate at a smaller scale, serving fewer students and offering a narrower range of programmes** than their urban counterparts. Despite this, **rural providers demonstrate stronger recent and anticipated enrolment growth**, suggesting increasing demand for TVET in rural communities. Rural institutions also tend to **offer longer programmes and charge slightly higher tuition** fees, while the share of boarding institutions remains modest across both settings.

Financial Performance

COMPARISON OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF URBAN AND RURAL TVET INSTITUTIONS

	Urban	Rural
Monthly Financial Performance		
Median monthly collected revenue (KES)	716,667	183,333
Median monthly net operating surplus (KES)	261,667	40,667
Median operating cost ratio*	58%	84%
Fee Collection Performance		
Median fees overdue (>3 months)	25%	30%
Median fees overdue (>12 months)	20%	30%

**Operating cost ratio = total operating expenses as a percentage of monthly collected revenue*

Financial performance differs markedly between urban and rural TVET institutions. Reflecting their smaller scale, rural providers **generate lower monthly revenues and operating surpluses**. More importantly, rural institutions **operate with considerably tighter financial margins**, with operating expenses consuming a substantially larger share of collected revenue. **Fee collection also appears more challenging**, as rural institutions report higher levels of both short-term and long-term overdue fees. Together, these findings suggest that financing solutions for rural TVET providers should place greater emphasis on cashflow management, repayment flexibility, and prudent debt servicing rather than relying solely on institutional scale as an indicator of creditworthiness.

Student Demand

COMPARISON OF STUDENT DEMAND FOR TVET FINANCING

	Urban	Rural
Affordability Burden		
Relatively affordable	27%	28%
Moderate burden	39%	27%
High burden	20%	22%
Severe affordability burden	14%	22%
Student Financing Demand		
Median annual household income (KES)	600,000	350,000
Students expressing demand for a loan	33%	32%
Median requested loan amount (KES)	45,000	60,000

Demand for student financing is **comparable across urban and rural markets**, with approximately one-third of students expressing interest in borrowing to finance their studies. However, **rural households report substantially lower household incomes and are more likely to experience high or severe affordability burdens**, indicating that the cost of TVET places greater financial pressure on rural families. Consistent with these findings, **rural students also request larger loan amounts**, suggesting a greater reliance on external financing to meet education costs.

Access to Finance

TVET INSTITUTION ACCESS TO FINANCE AND EXPERIENCED BORROWING CHALLENGES

	Urban	Rural
Institutions with previous borrowing history	31%	5%
Borrowing Experience		
Affordability & repayment pressure	33%	46%
Cashflow alignment issues	26%	23%
Access & collateral constraints	17%	23%
Transparency & client support issues	7%	8%
Process & administrative barriers	10%	0%
No reported challenges	6%	0%

Access to finance differs considerably between urban and rural TVET institutions. **Rural providers report very limited previous borrowing experie**nce, suggesting that many remain underserved by formal financial institutions. Among those who have accessed finance, **affordability and repayment pressure emerge as the most significant challenge**, followed by access and collateral constraints, both of which are reported more frequently than among urban institutions. Together, these findings suggest that expanding finance in rural markets will require products that not only improve access, but also better align repayment structures and underwriting approaches with the financial realities of rural institutions.

STUDENT ACCESS TO FINANCE AND EXPERIENCED BORROWING CHALLENGES

	Urban	Rural
Students with previous personal or household borrowing experience	63%	48%
Borrowing Experience		
No issues experienced	59%	42%
Repayment pressure and affordability	10%	47%

STUDENT ACCESS TO FINANCE AND EXPERIENCED BORROWING CHALLENGES

	Urban	Rural
Loan processing and disbursement delays	19%	3%
Eligibility and access constraints	5%	5%
Administrative and transparency issues	4%	1%
Repayment schedule misalignment	0%	3%
Client support issues	2%	0%

Rural students report **less previous borrowing experience** than their urban counterparts, suggesting more limited exposure to formal credit markets. While many borrowers across both groups reported **no issues with their loans**, rural students who did experience challenges were considerably **more likely to identify repayment pressure and affordability as their primary concern**. In contrast, urban borrowers more frequently reported loan processing and disbursement delays, indicating that operational aspects of loan delivery, rather than affordability, were a more prominent issue. These findings suggest that rural student loan products should prioritise affordability and repayment flexibility, while urban markets may benefit from improvements to loan processing efficiency.

Employment Outcomes

COMPARISON OF EMPLOYMENT OUTCOMES

	Urban	Rural
Median graduates per year	150	35
Employed within 0–3 months	22%	14%
Employed within 6–12 months	38%	23%
Employed after 12 months	33%	31%
Self-employed	7%	32%

Graduate employment outcomes reflect the different labour market environments in which urban and rural TVET institutions operate. Urban graduates tend to transition into employment more quickly, while **rural graduates are considerably more likely to pursue self-employment**. Although immediate employment is lower among rural graduates, employment outcomes appear to converge over time, suggesting that **rural graduates may experience a longer transition into the labour market** or increasingly create their own livelihood opportunities. These findings indicate that financing models targeting rural TVET students should recognise entrepreneurship as an important employment pathway alongside traditional wage employment.

Product Implications

PRODUCT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RURAL TVET INSTITUTION FINANCING

	Product Design Implications
Rural institutions operate at a smaller scale but are experiencing faster enrolment growth	Develop smaller-ticket institutional loans that can scale alongside institutional growth and expansion
Higher operating cost ratios and lower operating surpluses reduce repayment capacity	Structure repayments around institutional cashflows with prudent debt service ratios and appropriate grace periods where required
Fee collection is weaker, with higher levels of overdue tuition	Align repayment schedules with fee collection cycles and avoid rigid monthly repayment structures
Limited previous borrowing experience among rural providers	Pair lending with technical assistance, financial management support, and relationship banking to build first-time borrower confidence
Greater access and collateral constraints	Explore cashflow-based underwriting, partial credit guarantees, or alternative collateral arrangements to improve access to finance
Strong anticipated enrolment growth	Prioritise financing for classroom expansion, equipment purchases, and institutional infrastructure to support future growth

PRODUCT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RURAL TVET INSTITUTION STUDENT FINANCING

	Product Design Implications
Rural households have substantially lower incomes and experience greater affordability burdens	Structure loans with longer tenures and affordable instalments to reduce repayment pressure on households
Demand for student finance is comparable to urban markets despite lower household incomes	Expand student lending in rural markets, recognising that the primary constraint is affordability rather than demand
Rural students request larger loan amounts	Design loan products that finance a greater proportion of total education costs, including tuition and essential non-tuition expenses where appropriate
Rural graduates are more likely to become self-employed than enter formal employment.	Develop repayment structures that accommodate irregular or entrepreneurial income rather than relying solely on payroll deductions
Rural graduates transition into employment more gradually	Consider post-graduation grace periods that provide graduates with time to establish employment or businesses before repayments begin
Longer programme durations	Align loan tenures and grace periods with programme length and expected labour market entry

OPPORTUNITY
EduFinance

ADDRESS

Level 18, 100 Bishopsgate
London EC2M 1GT

Visit us at edufinance.org